Charles and Katrenia Taylor 44 Tarbot Lane Harlan, Kentucky 40831

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2023

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Kentucky Public Service Commission Executive Director P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Case Number 2023-00296

September 26, 2023

Dear Executive Director,

I am writing this letter in protest of the application for the proposed construction of the Cloverfork Wireless Communication Facility by New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC doing business as AT&T Mobility and Tillman Infrastructure LLC, Case Number 2023-00292. The address of the site is 227 Industrial Park Road, Harlan, Kentucky 40831. I have several concerns about the tower.

My understanding is this facility is to improve the cell signal in the area around downtown Harlan. Now, the proposed site is unnecessary and useless in its current location due to mountainous terrain. The Public Service Commission is tasked with looking at "the general area concerned and the likely effects of the installation on nearby land uses and values." KY Public Service Commission, Case No. 2022-00010, May 19, 2023. The proposed sight is close to a residential community.

I work in the Fairview section of Harlan there is no cell signal. It will continue to be a dead zone after construction of this tower. The signal from the new facility will be blocked by a mountain and will not reach this area. The proposed tower should be placed to utilize the best signal for the area the tower is serving.

The health risks from the proposed facility are a great concern for me. My brother was a Cellular Tower Technician for AT&T in Columbia, South Carolina for around 20 years. He passed away on June 19, 2022, due to a lost battle with brain cancer.

While the U. S. Federal Communication Commission (FCC) claims that wireless facilities are safe, the U.S. Department of the Interior states that "the electromagnetic radiation standards used by the FCC continues to be based on thermal heating, a criterion now 30 years out of date and inapplicable today." *See <u>https://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsEntry/attachments/attachmentViewRD.jsp;ATTACHM</u> <u>ENTS=HPm37w1xh8naZg8MimLp2TMB1PB7D-1P2PnsS4gKmwOsVS65sgJF!-</u> 1507790802!-*

<u>415575850?applType=search&fileKey=587578382&attachmentKey=19485623&applType=search&fileKey=587578382&attachmentKey=19485623&applDrL=4295285&attachmentPath=uls/storage/201409/converted/ASR\_19485623.ppdf&attchExt=pdf&applOrLicKey=T. Other countries now limit public exposure to far lower than the FCC standards. If exposure isn't safe for wildlife, how can it be safe for residents of Sunshine?</u>

Studies have shown that even at low levels of radiation, there is evidence of biological damage, Brain tumors, cancer, Weakened Immune function, childhood leukemia, and ovarian follicles.

In May of 2011 the World Health Organization (WHO) classified the type of radiation from cell towers as Class 2B carcinogenic, which means possible carcinogenic to Humans, the same category as lead and DDT.

An article published by the American Association of Pediatrics raises concerns that children may be more vulnerable than adults "because of the potential greater susceptibility of their developing nervous system. In addition, their brain tissue is more conductive, radio frequency radiation penetration is greater relative to head size, and they will have longer lifetime exposure than adults." See <a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16061584/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16061584/</a>.

Finally, the health hazards and the unsightliness of these installations will decrease property values. The perceived threat of construction alone would lower property values. Which in turn, means less tax dollars for an already economically distressed county. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) guidelines categorize cell towers with "hazard and nuisances," and HUD requires its certified appraisers to take the presence of nearby cell towers into consideration when determining the value of single-family residential properties. Studies show that proximity to a cell tower can lower property values from 5% to 10%. See <u>https://archives.hud.gov/offices/hsg/sfh/ref/sfh1-18f.cfm.</u>

This tower would stand under 500 feet from my home and I feel it would not serve the area needs. I ask the Commissioner to reject the construction of the tower at this sight.

Sincerely,

Katrema Tagh

. Katrenia Taylor